arXiv:1406.1745v1 [math.DG] 6 Jun 2014

Cut Limits on Hyperbolic Extensions

Pedro Ontaneda*

Abstract

Hyperbolic extensions were defined and studied in [4]. Cut limits of families of metrics were introduced in [5]. In this paper we show that if a family of metrics $\{h_\lambda\}$ has cut limits then the family of hyperbolic extensions $\{\mathcal{E}_k(h_\lambda)\}$ also has cut limits.

The results in this paper are used in the problem of smoothing Charney-Davis strict hyperbolizations [2], [3].

Section 0. Introduction.

This paper deals with the relationship between two concepts: "hyperbolic extensions", which were studied in [4], and "cut limits of families of metrics", which were defined in [5]. Before stating our main result we first introduce these concepts here.

A. Hyperbolic extensions. Recall that hyperbolic n-space $\mathbb{H}^n$ is isometric to $\mathbb{H}^k \times \mathbb{H}^{n-k}$ with warped metric $(cosh^2 r)\,\sigma_{\mathbb{H}^k} + \sigma_{\mathbb{H}^{n-k}}$, where $\sigma_{\mathbb{H}^l}$ denotes the hyperbolic metric of $\mathbb{H}^l$, and $r : \mathbb{H}^{n-k} \to [0,\infty)$ is the distance to a fixed point in $\mathbb{H}^{n-k}$. For instance, in the case $n = 2$, since $\mathbb{H}^1 = \mathbb{R}^1$ we have that $\mathbb{H}^2$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{(u,v)\}$ with warped metric $cosh^2 v\, du^2 + dv^2$. The concept of "hyperbolic extension" is a generalization of this construction; we explain this in the next paragraph.

Let (M^n, h) be a complete Riemannian manifold with *center* $o = o_{_M} \in M$, that is, the exponential map $exp_o : T_oM \to M$ is a diffeomorphism. The warped metric

$$f = (cosh^2 r)\,\sigma_{\mathbb{H}^k} + h$$

on $\mathbb{H}^k \times M$ is the *hyperbolic extension (of dimension k)* of the metric h. Here r is the distance-to-o function on M. We write $\mathcal{E}_k(M) = (\mathbb{H}^k \times M, f)$, and $f = \mathcal{E}_k(h)$. We also say that $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$ is the *hyperbolic extension (of dimension k) of* (M,h) (or just of M). Hence, for instance, we have $\mathcal{E}_k(\mathbb{H}^l) = \mathbb{H}^{k+l}$. Also write $\mathbb{H}^k = \mathbb{H}^k \times \{o_{_M}\} \subset \mathcal{E}_k(M)$ and we have that any $p \in \mathbb{H}^k$ is a center of $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$ (see [4]).

Remarks 0.1.

1. Let M^n have center o. Using a fixed orthonormal basis on T_oM and the exponential map we can identify M with $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $M - \{o\}$ with $\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\} = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty)$. Hence the spheres $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \{r\} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty)$ are geodesic spheres, and the rays $t \mapsto tv = (v,t) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty) =$

*The author was partially supported by a NSF grant.

$M - \{o\}$, are geodesics rays emanating from the center.
2. Let g' be other metric on M. Suppose we can write $g' = g'_r + dr^2$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty) = M - \{o\}$, (this last identification is done using g). Then the geodesic spheres around o, and the geodesics emanating from o for g and g' coincide.

B. Cut limits. Before we talk about "cut limits" we need some preliminary definitions and facts. Let (M^n, g) have center o. Then the metric g (outside the center) has the form $g = g_r + dr^2$. Here we are identifying (see 0.1) the space $M - \{o\}$ with $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty)$, thus each g_r is a metric on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Examples.
1. The Euclidean metric $\sigma_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as $\sigma_{\mathbb{R}^n} = g_r + dr^2$ with $g_r = r^2\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$, where $\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$ is the round metric on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.
2. The hyperbolic metric $\sigma_{\mathbb{H}^n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as $\sigma_{\mathbb{H}^n} = g_r + dr^2$ with $g_r = sinh^2(r)\,\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$.

Let (M, g) have center o and write $g = g_r + dr^2$. Let $r_0 > 0$. We can think of the metric g_{r_0} as being obtained from $g = g_r + dr^2$ by "cutting" g along the sphere of radius r_0, so we call the metric g_{r_0} on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ the *warped spherical cut of g at r_0*. Let

$$\hat{g}_{r_0} = \left(\tfrac{1}{sinh^2(r_0)}\right) g_{r_0} \qquad \textbf{(0.2)}$$

We call the metric $\hat{g}_{r_0}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ given by (0.2) the *(unwarped) spherical cut of g at r_0*. In the particular case that $g = g_r + dr^2$ is a warped-by-*sinh* metric we have $g_r = sinh^2(r)g'$ for some fixed g' independent of r. In this case the warped spherical cut of $g = sinh^2(r)g' + dt^2$ at r_0 is $sinh^2(r_0)g'$, and the the spherical cut at r_0 is $\hat{g}_{r_0} = g'$. Hence the terms "warped" and "unwarped" (usually we will omit the term "unwarped").

Example. If $g = \sigma_{\mathbb{H}^n} = sinh^2(r)\,\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} + dr^2$, the spherical cut at r_0 is $(\widehat{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}^n}})_{r_0} = \sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$. And the warped spherical cut at r_0 is $sinh^2(r_0)\,\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$.

Let (M^n, g) have center o. We now consider families of metrics $\{g_\lambda\}_{\lambda > \lambda_0}$ on M of the form $g_\lambda = \left(g_\lambda\right)_r + dr^2$. Here $\lambda_0 > 0$, and the identification $M - \{o\} = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0,\infty)$ is done using g; see Remark 0.1. The reason we are interested in these families is that they are key ingredients in Riemannian Hyperbolization [3] (also see [5]). Moreover, the Main Theorem in this paper is used in [3].

Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$. By cutting each g_λ at $b+\lambda$ we obtain a one-parameter family $\{\widehat{\left(g_\lambda\right)}_{\lambda+b}\}_\lambda$ of metrics on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. (The metric $\widehat{\left(g_\lambda\right)}_{\lambda+b}$ is the spherical cut of g_λ at $\lambda + b$). Here $\lambda > \lambda_0 - b$, if $-b \geq \lambda_0$, so that the definition makes sense. We say that the $\{g_\lambda\}$ has *cut limit at b* if this family C^2-converges, as $\lambda \to \infty$. That is, there is a C^2 metric $\hat{g}_{\infty+b}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

$$\left|\ \widehat{\left(g_\lambda\right)}_{\lambda+b} \ -\ \hat{g}_{\infty+b}\ \right|_{C^2} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to \infty \qquad \textbf{(0.3)}$$

Here the arrow means convergence in the C^2-norm on the space of C^2 metrics on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. See

remark 1 in 1.1 of [5] for more details. Note that the concept of cut limit at b depends strongly on the indexation of the family.

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval (compact or noncompact). We say the $\odot$-family $\{g_\lambda\}$ has *cut limits on* I if the convergence in (0.3) is uniform in $b \in I$. Explicitly this means: for every $\epsilon > 0$, and $b \in I$ there are λ_* and neighborhood U of b in I such that $| \widehat{(g_\lambda)}_{\lambda+b'} - \hat{g}_{\infty+b'} | < \epsilon$, for $\lambda > \lambda_*$ and $b' \in U$. In particular $\{g_\lambda\}$ has a cut limit at b, for every $b \in I$. Finally we say that $\{g_\lambda\}$ has a *cut limits (everywhere)* if $\{g_\lambda\}$ has a cut limits on $\mathbb{R}$.

Remark 0.4. If $\{g_\lambda\}_\lambda$ is a family of metrics then $\{g_{\lambda(\lambda')}\}_{\lambda'}$ is a *reparametrization* of $\{g_\lambda\}_\lambda$, where $\lambda' \mapsto \lambda(\lambda')$ is a change of variables. For instance, if we use translations, the following holds: $\{g_\lambda\}_\lambda$ has cut limits at b if and only if $\{g_{\lambda'+a}\}_{\lambda'}$ has cut limits at $b+a$; here the change of variables is $\lambda = \lambda' + a$.

C. Statement of main result. Here is a natural question:

Question. *If $\{h_\lambda\}_\lambda$ has cut limits, does $\{\mathcal{E}_k(h_\lambda)\}_\lambda$ have cut limits?*

Remark. More generally we can ask whether $\{\mathcal{E}_k(h_\lambda)\}_{\lambda'}$ has cut limits, where $\lambda = \lambda(\lambda')$. Of course the answer would depend on the change of variables $\lambda = \lambda(\lambda')$.

Our main result gives an affirmative answer to this question provided the family $\{h_\lambda\}$ is, in some sense, nice near the origin. Explicitly, we say that $\{h_\lambda\}$ is *hyperbolic around the origin* if there is a $B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\widehat{(h_\lambda)}_{\lambda+b} = \sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$$

for every $b \leq B$ and every (sufficiently large) λ. Note that this implies that each h_λ is canonically hyperbolic on the ball of radius $\lambda + B$. Examples of $\odot$-families that are hyperbolic around the origin are families obtained using hyperbolic forcing [5].

As mentioned before our main result answers affirmatively the question above. Moreover it also says that some reparametrized families $\{\mathcal{E}_k(h_\lambda)\}_{\lambda'}$, for certain change of variables $\lambda = \lambda(\lambda')$, have cut limits as well. Write $\lambda = \lambda(\lambda', \theta) = sinh^{-1}(sinh(\lambda')\, sin\, \theta)$, for fixed θ. We say that $\{\mathcal{E}_k(h_\lambda)\}_{\lambda'}$ is the *θ-reparametrization of* $\{\mathcal{E}_k(h_\lambda)\}_\lambda$. Note that if we consider an hyperbolic right triangle with one angle equal to θ and side (opposite to θ) of length λ, then λ' is the length of the hypothenuse of the triangle. All θ-reparametrizations, in the limit $\lambda' \to \infty$, differ just by translations. We are now ready to state our Main result.

Main Theorem. *Let M have center o. Let $\{h_\lambda\}_\lambda$ be $\odot$-family of metrics on M. Assume $\{h_\lambda\}_\lambda$ is hyperbolic around the origin. If $\{h_\lambda\}$ has cut limits, then the θ-reparametrization $\{\mathcal{E}_k(h_\lambda)\}_{\lambda'}$ has cut limits, where $\theta \in (0, \pi/2]$.*

Note that $\theta = \pi/2$ gives $\lambda = \lambda'$. The paper is structured as follows. In Section 1 we review some facts about hyperbolic extensions. In Section 2 we introduce useful coordinates on the spheres of a hyperbolic extension. In Section 3 we study spherical cuts on hyperbolic extensions. Finally in Section 4 we deal with cut limits in a bit more detail and prove the Main Theorem.

Section 1. Hyperbolic Extensions.

Notational convention: we will denote all centers on manifolds by the same letter "o". If the manifold M needs to be specified we will write $o = o_{_M}$, which means that o is a center in M.

Let (M^n, h) have center o. Recall that in the Introduction we called the metric $f = \mathcal{E}_k(g) = (cosh^2 r)\,\sigma_{_{\mathbb{H}^k}} + h$ on $\mathbb{H}^k \times M$ a *hyperbolic extension* of h. Here r is the distance-to-o function on M. We write $\mathcal{E}_k(M) = (\mathbb{H}^k \times M, f)$, and call it a *hyperbolic extension* of M. Also write $\mathbb{H}^k = \mathbb{H}^k \times \{o_{_M}\} \subset \mathcal{E}_k(M)$ and we have that any $p \in \mathbb{H}^k$ is a center of $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$ (see [4] or 1.3 below).

Note that $\mathbb{H}^k$ and every $\{y\} \times M$ are convex in $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$ (see [1], p.23). Let η be a complete geodesic line in M passing though o and let η^+ be one of its two geodesic rays (beginning at o) . Then η is a totally geodesic subspace of M and η^+ is convex (see [4]). Also, let γ be a complete geodesic line in $\mathbb{H}^k$. The following two results are proved in [4].

Lemma 1.1. *We have that $\gamma \times \eta^+$ is a convex subspace of $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$ and $\gamma \times \eta$ is totally geodesic in $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$.*

Corollary 1.2. *We have that $\mathbb{H}^k \times \eta^+$ and $\gamma \times M$ are convex in $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$. Also $\mathbb{H}^k \times \eta$ is totally geodesic in $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$.*

Remark 1.3. Note that $\mathbb{H}^k \times \eta$ (with metric induced by $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$) is isometric to $\mathbb{H}^k \times \mathbb{R}$ with warped metric $cosh^2 v\,\sigma_{_{\mathbb{H}^k}} + dv^2$, which is just hyperbolic $(k+1)$-space $\mathbb{H}^{k+1}$. Also $\gamma \times \eta$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with warped metric $cosh^2 v\,du^2 + dv^2$, which is just hyperbolic 2-space $\mathbb{H}^2$. In particular every point in $\mathbb{H}^k = \mathbb{H}^k \times \{o\} \subset \mathcal{E}_k(M)$ is a center point.

As before we use h to identify $M - \{o\}$ with $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Sometimes we will denote a point $v = (u, r) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+ = M - \{o\}$ by $v = ru$. Fix a center $o \in \mathbb{H}^k \in \mathcal{E}_k(M)$. Then, for $y \in \mathbb{H}^k - \{o\}$ we can also write $y = t\,w$, $(w, t) \in \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Similarly, using the exponential map we can identify $\mathcal{E}_k(M) - \{o\}$ with $\mathbb{S}^{k+n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, and for $p \in \mathcal{E}_k(M) - \{o\}$ we can write $p = s\,x$, $(x, s) \in \mathbb{S}^{k+n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$.

We denote the metric on $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$ by f and we can write $f = f_s + ds^2$. Since $\mathbb{H}^k$ is convex in $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$ we can write $\mathbb{H}^k - \{o\} = \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \subset \mathbb{S}^{k+n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{k+n-1}$.

A point $p \in \mathcal{E}_k(M) - \mathbb{H}^k$ has two sets of coordinates: the *polar coordinates* $(x, s) = (x(p), s(p)) \in \mathbb{S}^{k+n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and the *hyperbolic extension coordinates* $(y, v) = (y(p), v(p)) \in \mathbb{H}^k \times M$. Write

$M_o = \{o\} \times M$. Therefore we have the following functions:

the distance to o function:	$s : \mathcal{E}_k(M) \to [0,\infty)$,	$s(p) = d_{\mathcal{E}_k(M)}(p,o)$
the direction of p function:	$x : \mathcal{E}_k(M) - \{o\} \to \mathbb{S}^{n+k-1}$,	$p = s(p)x(p)$
the distance to $\mathbb{H}^k$ function:	$r : \mathcal{E}_k(M) \to [0,\infty)$,	$r(p) = d_{\mathcal{E}_k(M)}(p,\mathbb{H}^k)$
the projection on $\mathbb{H}^k$ function:	$y : \mathcal{E}_k(M) \to \mathbb{H}^k$,	
the projection on M function:	$v : \mathcal{E}_k(M) \to M$,	
the projection on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ function:	$u : \mathcal{E}_k(M) - \mathbb{H}^k \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,	$v(p) = r(p)u(p)$
the length of y function:	$t : \mathcal{E}_k(M) \to [0,\infty)$,	$t(w) = d_{\mathbb{H}^k}(y,o)$
the direction of y function:	$w : \mathcal{E}_k(M) - M_o \to \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$,	$y(p) = t(p)w(p)$

Note that $r = d_M(v,o)$. Note also that, by 1.1, the functions w and u are constant on geodesics emanating from $o \in \mathcal{E}_k(M)$, that is $w(sx) = w(x)$ and $u(sx) = u(x)$.

Let ∂_r and ∂_s be the gradient vector fields of r and s, respectively. Since the M-fibers $M_y = \{y\} \times M$ are convex the vectors ∂_r are the velocity vectors of the speed one geodesics of the form $a \mapsto (y, a\,u)$, $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset M$. These geodesics emanate from (and orthogonally to) $\mathbb{H}^k \subset \mathcal{E}_k(M)$. Also the vectors ∂_s are the velocity vectors of the speed one geodesics emanating from $o \in \mathcal{E}_k(M)$. For $p \in \mathcal{E}_k(M)$, denote by $\triangle = \triangle(p)$ the right triangle with vertices o, $y = y(p)$, p and sides the geodesic segments $[o,p] \in \mathcal{E}_k(M)$, $[o,y] \in \mathbb{H}^k$, $[p,y] \in \{y\} \times M \subset \mathcal{E}_k(M)$. (These geodesic segments are unique and well-defined because: (1) $\mathbb{H}^k$ is convex in $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$, (2) $(y,o) = o_{\{y\}\times M}$ and o are centers in $\{y\} \times M$ and $\mathbb{H}^k \subset \mathcal{E}_k(M)$, respectively.)

Let $\alpha : \mathcal{E}_k(M) - \mathbb{H}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ be the angle between ∂_s and ∂_r (in that order), thus $cos\,\alpha = f(\partial_r, \partial_s)$, $\alpha \in [0,\pi]$. Then $\alpha = \alpha(p)$ is the interior angle, at $p = (y,v)$, of the right triangle $\triangle = \triangle(p)$. We call $\beta(p)$ the interior angle of this triangle at o, that is $\beta(p) = \beta(x)$ is the spherical distance between $x \in \mathbb{S}^{k+n-1}$ and the totally geodesic sub-sphere $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$. Alternatively, β is the angle between the geodesic segment $[o,p] \subset \mathcal{E}_k(M)$ and the convex submanifold $\mathbb{H}^k$. Therefore β is constant on geodesics emanating from $o \in \mathcal{E}_k(M)$, that is $\beta(sx) = \beta(x)$. The following corollary follows from 1.1 (see 2.1 in [4]).

Corollary 1.4. *Let η^+ (or η) be a geodesic ray (line) in M through o containing $v = v(p)$ and γ a geodesic line in $\mathbb{H}^k$ through o containing $y = y(p)$. Then $\triangle(p) \subset \gamma \times \eta^+ \subset \gamma \times \eta$.*

Remark 1.5. Note that the right geodesic triangle $\triangle(p)$ has sides of length $r = r(p)$, $t = t(p)$ and $s = s(p)$. By Lemma 1.1 and Remark 1.3 we can consider $\triangle$ as contained in a totally geodesic copy of hyperbolic 2-space $\mathbb{H}^2(p)$. The plane $\mathbb{H}^2(p)$ is well defined for p outside $\mathbb{H}^k \cup (\{o\} \times M)$. We will write $\mathbb{H}^2(p) = \gamma_w \times \eta_u$, where $p = (y,v) \in \mathbb{H}^k \times M$, $y = tw$, $v = ru$.

Hence, by 1.5, using hyperbolic trigonometric identities we can find relations between r, t, s, α and β. For instance, using the hyperbolic law of sines we get:

$$\textbf{(1.6)} \qquad sinh\,(r) \;=\; sin\,(\beta)\; sinh\,(s)$$

In Section 3 we will need the following result.

Proposition 1.7. *We have the following identity defined outside* $\mathbb{H}^k \cup \big(\{o\} \times M\big)$

$$\big(sinh^2(s)\big)\, d\beta^{\,2} \;+\; ds^2 \;=\; cosh^2(r)\, dt^2 \;+\; dr^2$$

Proof. First a particular case. Take $M = \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 1$, hence $\mathcal{E}_k(M) = \mathcal{E}_1(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{H}^2$. In this case the left-hand side of the identity above is the expression of the metric of $\mathbb{H}^2$ in polar coordinates (β, s), and right hand side of the equation is the expression of the same metric in the hyperbolic extension coordinates $(r, t) = (v, y)$. (Here r and t are "signed" distances.) Hence the equation holds in this particular case. Now, for the general case note that, by 1.1 and 1.5, the functionals $d\beta$, ds, dt, dr, at some p, are zero on vectors perpendicular to $\mathbb{H}^2(p)$. Hence the general case can be reduced to the particular. This proves the proposition.

Section 2. Coordinates On The Spheres $S_s\big(\mathcal{E}_k(M)\big)$.

Let N^n have center o. The geodesic sphere of radius r centered at o will be denoted by $\mathbb{S}_r = \mathbb{S}_r(N)$ and we can identify $\mathbb{S}_r$ with $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \{r\}$.

Let M have center o and metric h. Consider the hyperbolic extension $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$ of M with center $o \in \mathbb{H}^k = \mathbb{H}^k \times \{o\} \subset \mathcal{E}_k(M)$ and metric f. Since $\mathbb{H}^k \subset \mathcal{E}_k(M)$ is convex, we can write $\mathbb{S}_s(\mathcal{E}_k(M)) \cap \mathbb{H}^k = \mathbb{S}_s(\mathbb{H}^k)$. Equivalently $\big(\mathbb{S}^{k+n-1} \times \{s\}\big) \cap \mathbb{H}^k = \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \{s\}$. Write $M_o = \{o\} \times M$. Also write

$$E_k(M) \;=\; \mathcal{E}_k(M) \;-\; \big(\mathbb{H}^k \coprod M_o\big)$$

and

$$S_s\big(\,\mathcal{E}_k(M)\,\big) \;=\; \mathbb{S}_s\big(\mathcal{E}_k(M)\big) \bigcap E_k(M) \;=\; \mathbb{S}_s\big(\,\mathcal{E}_k(M)\,\big) \;-\; \big(\mathbb{H}^k \coprod M_o\big)$$

Note that the functions α and β are well-defined and smooth on $E_k(M)$, and $0 < \beta(p) < \pi/2$. Moreover, by 1.5, the plane $\mathbb{H}^2(p) = \gamma_w \times \eta_u$ is well defined for $p \in E_k(M)$. As in 1.5, here $p = (y, v) \in \mathbb{H}^k \times M$, $y = tw$, $v = ru$. Recall that $\triangle(p) \subset \mathbb{H}^2(p)$ (see 1.4 and 1.5).

By the identification between $\mathbb{S}^{n+k-1} \times \{s\}$ with $\mathbb{S}_s(\mathcal{E}_k(M))$ and Lemma 1.1 we have that $\mathbb{H}^2(p) \cap \mathbb{S}_s(\mathcal{E}_k(M))$ gets identified with a geodesic circle $\mathbb{S}^1(p) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+k-1}$. Moreover, since $\mathbb{H}^2(p)$ and $\mathbb{H}^k$ intersect orthogonally on γ_w, we have that the spherical geodesic segment $\big[x(p), w(p)\big]_{\mathbb{S}^{n+k-1}}$ intersects $\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+k-1}$ orthogonally at w. This together with the fact that $\beta < \pi/2$ imply that $\big[x(p), w(p)\big]_{\mathbb{S}^{n+k-1}}$ is a length minimizing spherical geodesic in $\mathbb{S}^{k+n-1}$ joining x to w. Consequently $\beta = \beta(p)$ is the length of $\big[x(p), w(p)\big]_{\mathbb{S}^{n+k-1}}$.

We now give a set of coordinates on $S_s\big(\mathcal{E}_k(M)\big)$. For $p \in S_s\big(\mathcal{E}_k(M)\big)$ define

$$\Xi\,(p) \;=\; \Xi_s(p) \;=\; \big(\,w\,,\,u\,,\,\beta\,\big) \;\in\; \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times\, \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \big(0\,,\,\pi/2\big)$$

where $w = w(p)$, $u = u(p)$, $\beta = \beta(p)$. Note that Ξ is constant on geodesics emanating from $o \in \mathcal{E}_k(M)$, that is $\Xi(sx) = \Xi(x)$.

Using hyperbolic trigonometric identities we can find well defined and smooth functions $r = r(s, \beta)$ and $t = t(s, \beta)$ such that r, s, t are the lengths of the sides of a right geodesic triangle

on $\mathbb{H}^2$ with angle β opposite the the side with length r. With these functions we can construct explicitly a smooth inverse to Ξ.

2.1 Remarks.
1. For $(w,u) \in \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we have

$$\Xi\Big(\big(\gamma_w \times \eta_u\big) \cap S_s\big(\mathcal{E}_k(M)\big)\Big) \;=\; \{\pm w\} \times \{\pm u\} \times (0, \pi/2)$$

By Lemma 1.1 the paths $a \mapsto (\pm w, \pm u, a)$ four spherical (open) geodesic segments emanating orthogonally from $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$.
2. For $w \in \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ we have

$$\Xi\Big(\big(\gamma_w \times M\big) \cap S_s\big(\mathcal{E}_k(M)\big)\Big) \;=\; \{\pm w\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \pi/2)$$

By Lemma 1.2 we have that this set is a spherical geodesic ball of radius $\pi/2$ and of dimension n (with its center deleted) intersecting $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ orthogonally at w. Note that the geodesic segments on this ball emanating from w are the spherical geodesic segments of item 1, for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.
3. For $w \in \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ and r with $0 < r < s$ we have

$$\Xi\Big(\big(\gamma_w \times \mathbb{S}_r(M)\big) \cap S_s\big(\mathcal{E}_k(M)\Big) \;=\; \{w\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \beta(r)$$

where $\beta(r)$ is the angle of the right geodesic hyperbolic triangle with sides of length s (opposite to the right angle) and r, opposite to β. We have $\beta = sin^{-1}\big(\frac{sinh(r)}{sinh(s)}\big)$; see 1.6.
4. Since the M-fibers $\{y\} \times M$ are orthogonal in $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$ to the $\mathbb{H}^k$-fibers $\mathbb{H}^k \times \{v\}$, items 1,2, and 3 above imply that the $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$-fibers, the $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$-fibers and $(0, \pi/2)$-fibers are mutually orthogonal in $\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \big(0\,,\,\pi/2\big)$ with the metric $\Xi_* f$.
5. The map

$$\Xi' = (\Xi, s) : E_k(M) \to \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \big(0\,,\,\pi/2\big) \times \mathbb{R}^+$$

gives coordinates on $E_k(M)$.

Section 3. Spherical Cuts on Hyperbolic Extensions.

Let (N^m, g) have center o. Recall from the Introduction that the metric g_r on $\mathbb{S}_r$ is called the *warped (by sinh) spherical cut of g at r*, and the metric $\hat{g}_r \;=\; \big(\frac{1}{sinh^2(r)}\big)\, g_r$ is the *spherical cut of g at r* .

Now, let (M^n, h) have center o. Thus we can write $h = h_r + dr^2$, where each h_r is a metric on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. As before we denote by $f = \mathcal{E}_k(h)$ the hyperbolic extension of h, and we write $f = f_s + ds^2$ on $\mathcal{E}_k(M) - \{o\}$; each f_s is a metric on $\mathbb{S}^{n+k-1}$. We use the map $\Xi = \Xi_s$ of Section 2 that gives coordinates on $S_s\big(\mathcal{E}_k(M)\big)$. Note that the metric $\Xi_* f_s$ is a metric on $\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (0, \pi/2)$, and it is the expression of f_s in the Ξ-coordinates.

Proposition 3.1 *We have that*

$$\Xi_* f_s \;=\; \Big(sinh^2(s)\, cos^2\,(\beta)\Big)\, \sigma_{_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}}} \;+\; h_r \;+\; \Big(\,sinh^2(s)\Big)\, d\beta^2$$

where $r = sinh^{-1}\big(sin\,(\beta)\ sinh\,(s)\big)$ *(see 1.6).*

Proof. By item 4 in 2.1 we have that $\Xi_* f_s$ has the form $A + B + C$, where $A(u,\beta)$ is a metric on $\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \times \{u\} \times \{\beta\}$, $B(w,\beta)$ is a metric on $\{w\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \{\beta\}$ and $C(u,\beta)$ is a metric on $\{w\} \times \{u\} \times (0, \pi/2)$, i.e $C = f(w,u,\beta)\, d\beta^2$, for some positive function f.

Now, by definition we have

$$f \ = \ cosh^2(r)\, \sigma_{_{\mathbb{H}^k}} \ + \ h_r + dr^2 \ = \ cosh^2(r) \Big(sinh^2(t) \sigma_{_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}}} + dt^2 \Big) \ + \ h_r \ + \ dr^2$$

By Proposition 1.7 and the identity $cosh(r)\, sinh(t) = sinh(s)\, cos\,(\beta)$ (which follows from the law of sines and the second law of cosines, also see 1.6) we can write

$$f_s + ds^2 = f \ = \ \big(sinh^2(s)\, cos^2\,(\beta)\,\big) \sigma_{_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}}} \ + \ h_r \ + \ \big(sinh^2(s) \big)\, d\beta^2 \ + \ ds^2$$

This proves the proposition.

Hence Proposition 3.1 gives the expression of the the warped spherical cut, at s, of the metric $f = \mathcal{E}_k(h)$ in the Ξ-coordinates. The next corollary does the same for the (unwarped) spherical cuts $\hat{f}$ of f at s.

Corollary 3.2 *We have that*

$$\Xi_* \big(\ \hat{f}_s \ \big) \ = \ cos^2\,(\beta)\, \sigma_{_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}}} \ + \ sin^2\,(\beta)\, \hat{h}_{_r} \ + \ d\beta^2$$

where r *as in Proposition 3.1.*

Proof. Since $sinh^2(r)\, \hat{h}_{_r} = h_r$, and $sinh^2(s)\, \hat{f}_s = f_s$, the corollary follows from Proposition 3.1 and the identity 1.6.

Section 4. Cut Limits and Proof of The Main Theorem.

First a bit of notation. Let (N^m, g) have center o. Recall that we can write the metric on $N - \{o\} = \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ as $g = g_{_r} + dr^2$, where r is the distance to o. Let $A \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and denote by $\mathrm{C}\, A$ the open cone $A \times \mathbb{R}^+ \subset \mathbb{S}^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+ \subset M$. We write $A_r = \mathrm{C}\, A \cap \mathbb{S}_r(M) = A \times \{r\}$. We will use the definition of cut limit at b, cut limit on I, and cut limit (everywhere), given in the Introduction. We also have "partial" versions of these definitions. Let $A \subset \mathbb{S}^n$. We say that $\{g_{_\lambda}\}_\lambda$ is a $\odot$*-family of metrics on* A if each $g_{_\lambda} = \big(g_{_\lambda}\big)_{_r} + dr^2$ is a metric defined (at least) on $\mathrm{C}\, A$. The definitions of *cut limit over* A*, at* b, *cut limits over* A*, on* I, and *cut limits over* A *(everywhere)* are similar.

Remark 4.1. In the case of cut limits on an interval I (including $I = \mathbb{R}$) the C^2-convergence is uniform C^2-convergence with compact supports in the I-direction and uniform C^2-convergence over A in the $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$-direction.

Let M^n have metric h and center o. As always we identify $M - \{o\}$ with $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and M with $\mathbb{R}^n$. Choose a center $o \in \mathbb{H}^k \subset \mathcal{E}_k(M)$. Let $\{h_{_\lambda}\}_\lambda$ be a $\odot$-family of metrics on M, thus o is a center for all $h_{_\lambda}$. Denote by $f_{_\lambda} = \mathcal{E}_k(h_{_\lambda})$ the hyperbolic extension of $h_{_\lambda}$. We have that $\{f_{_\lambda}\}_\lambda$ is a $\odot$-family on $\mathcal{E}_k(M)$ (see 1.3 [4]). We now θ-reparametrize $\{f_{_\lambda}\}_\lambda$, that is, we use the change of variables $\lambda = \lambda(\lambda') = sinh^{-1}(sinh(\lambda')\, sin\,\theta)$. Here $\theta \in (0, \pi/2]$ is a fixed constant. (Note that

λ' plays the role of the variable s in 1.6, and λ plays the role of r.) We obtain in this way the $\odot$-family $\{f_{\lambda(\lambda')}\}_{\lambda'}$. Write $S = \mathbb{S}^{n+k-1} - \{\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \coprod \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}$. In the next proposition we fix $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Also we use concept of "hyperbolic around the origin" given in the Introduction.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that $\{h_\lambda\}$ has cut limits on the interval $J_c = (-\infty, c]$, and that it is hyperbolic around the origin. Then $\{f_{\lambda(\lambda')}\}_{\lambda'}$ has cut limits on $J_{c'}$ over S, where where $c' < c + ln\, sin(\theta)$.*

Proof. By hypothesis $\{h_\lambda\}$ is hyperbolic around the origin. Hence there is B such that

$$\widehat{(h_\lambda)}_{\lambda+b} = \sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \qquad \text{for all} \qquad b \leq B \tag{1}$$

Hence the metrics h_λ are canonically hyperbolic on the ball of radius $\lambda + B$. Also, since we are assuming $\{h_\lambda\}$ has cut limits on J_c we have that

$$\widehat{(h_\lambda)}_{\lambda+b} \longrightarrow \hat{h}_{\infty+b} \tag{2}$$

uniformly with compact supports on $b \in J_c$.

As mentioned before we can write $f_\lambda = \big(f_\lambda\big)_s + ds^2$. We have to compute the limit of $\widehat{(f_{\lambda(\lambda')})}_{\lambda'+b}$, as $\lambda' \to \infty$. Let the Ξ-coordinates be as defined in Section 2 (for the space $(\mathcal{E}_k(M), f)$).

From Corollary 3.2. we can express $\big(\hat{f}_\lambda\big)_s$ in Ξ-coordinates:

$$\Xi_*\big(\ \widehat{(f_{\lambda(\lambda')})}_{\lambda'+b}\ \big) = cos^2(\beta)\,\sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} + sin^2(\beta)\,\widehat{(h_{\lambda(\lambda')})}_{r(\lambda'+b,\beta)} + d\beta^2$$

where $r = r(s, \beta)$ is given by 1.6. Therefore we want to find the limit of $\widehat{(h_{\lambda(\lambda')})}_{r(\lambda'+b,\beta)}$ as $\lambda' \to \infty$. To do this take the inverse of $\lambda = \lambda(\lambda')$, and we get $\lambda' = \lambda'(\lambda) = sinh^{-1}\big(\frac{sinh(\lambda)}{sin(\theta)}\big)$. Hence

$$\lim_{\lambda'\to\infty} \widehat{(h_{\lambda(\lambda')})}_{r(\lambda'+b,\beta)} = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \widehat{(h_\lambda)}_{\vartheta(\lambda,\beta,b)}$$

where

$$\vartheta(\lambda,\beta,b) = r\big(\lambda'(\lambda)+b,\beta\big) = sinh^{-1}\left(sinh\left\{ b + sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{sinh(\lambda)}{sin(\theta)}\right) \right\} sin(\beta) \right)$$

and a straightforward calculation shows

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \Big(\vartheta(\lambda,\beta,b) - \lambda \Big) = b + ln\big(\frac{sin(\beta)}{sin(\theta)}\big) \tag{3}$$

And this convergence is uniform with compact supports in the C^2-topology. Hence from (2) and (3) we get

$$\lim_{\lambda'\to\infty} \widehat{(h_{\lambda(\lambda')})}_{r(\lambda'+b,\beta)} = \hat{h}_{\infty+b+ln\,(\frac{sin\,\beta}{sin\,\theta})}$$

Caveat. The limit (3) is uniform with compact supports *in the β direction, but not uniform in the β direction. The problem is when $\beta \to 0$.*

Before solving the problem mentioned in the caveat we deal with the condition on c' stated in Proposition 4.2. Note that we want

$$\lambda + \left(b + ln\big(\tfrac{sin(\beta)}{sin(\theta)}\big) \right) < \lambda + c$$

where we are using equation (3). This inequality implies that we want $b \leq c' < c - ln\big(\frac{sin(\pi/2)}{sin(\theta)}\big) = c + ln\, sin(\theta)$.

We now deal with the problem mentioned in the caveat. Let c' as above, i.e. such that $c' < c + ln\, sin\, \theta$. We will need the following claim.

Claim. *There is $\beta_1 > 0$ such that $r(\lambda' + c', \beta_1) \leq \lambda(\lambda') + B$, for every λ' sufficiently large.*

Proof of the claim. A calculation shows that taking $\beta_1 = sin^{-1}(e^{2(B-c-1)})$ works. (Find the limit $\lambda' \to \infty$ of both terms in the inequality, and use the fact that $c' < c + ln\, sin\, \theta$.) This proves the claim.

Since the function $r = r(s, \beta)$ is incresing in both variables, the claim implies that $r(\lambda'+b, \beta) \leq \lambda(\lambda') + B$, for every $b \leq c'$, $\beta \leq \beta_1$ and λ' sufficiently large (how large not depending on b, nor β). This together with (1) imply that for every $b \leq c'$, $\beta \leq \beta_1$ and λ' sufficiently large we have

$$\widehat{(h_{\lambda(\lambda')})}_{r(\lambda'+b,\beta)} = \sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$$

Hence for every $b \in J_{c'}$ and $\beta \leq \beta_1$ we have

$$\lim_{\lambda' \to \infty} \widehat{(h_{\lambda(\lambda')})}_{r(\lambda'+b,\beta)} = \sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$$

Since $\beta_1 > 0$ the problem mentioned in the caveat (i.e, when $\beta \to 0$) has been removed. This proves the proposition.

Taking $c \to \infty$ in Proposition 4.2 gives the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that $\{h_\lambda\}$ has cut limits, and that it is hyperbolic around the origin. Then $\{f_{\lambda(\lambda')}\}_{\lambda'}$ has cut limits over S.*

Proof of the Main Theorem. Note that the only difference between Corollary 4.3 and the Main Theorem is that in the corollary the cut limits exist over $S \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Hence we have to show that the existence of cut limits over S imply the existence of cut limits on the whole of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Corollary 4.3 and 0.3 in the Introduction imply

$$\big|\ \widehat{\big((f_\lambda)|_S\big)}_{\lambda'+b} - \hat{f}_{\infty+b}\ \big|_{C^2} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda' \to \infty$$

where $\hat{f}_{\infty+b}$ is a metric on S. In particular the one-parameter family $\widehat{\big((f_\lambda)|_S\big)}_{\lambda'+b}$ (b is fixed) is Cauchy, that is

$$\big|\ \widehat{\big((f_{\lambda(\lambda'_1)})|_S\big)}_{\lambda'_1+b} - \widehat{\big((f_{\lambda(\lambda'_2)})|_S\big)}_{\lambda'_2+b}\ \big|_{C^2} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4}$$

uniformly as λ'_1, $\lambda'_2 \to \infty$. But since S is dense in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we get for any C^2 (pointwise) bilinear form g on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we have $|\, g|_S\, |_{C^2} = |g|_{C^2}$. Therefore we can drop the restriction "$|_S$" in (4) to get

$$\big|\ \widehat{(f_{\lambda(\lambda'_1)})}_{\lambda'_1+b} - \widehat{(f_{\lambda(\lambda'_2)})}_{\lambda'_2+b}\ \big|_{C^2} \longrightarrow 0$$

This implies that the family $\widehat{(f_\lambda)}_{\lambda'+b}$ is Cauchy, therefore converges to some $\hat{f}_{\infty+b}$. Note that $\hat{f}_{\infty+b}$ is a symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and it is positive definite on S. It remains to prove that $\hat{f}_{\infty+b}$ is also positive definite outside S. Recall $S = \mathbb{S}^{n+k-1} - (\mathbb{S}^{k-1} \cup \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. But it is straighforward to verify that we have $\hat{f}_{\infty+b}|_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} = \sigma_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} + \sigma_{\mathbb{H}^n}(0)$. On the other hand on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we have $\beta = \pi/2$, hence $\lambda = \lambda'$. Also by definition we have $f_\lambda = cosh^2(\lambda)\sigma_{\mathbb{H}^k} + h_\lambda = cosh^2(\lambda)\sigma_{\mathbb{H}^k} + (h_\lambda)_r + dr^2$. But on M_o we get $r = s$. Therefore

$$\big(\widehat{(f_\lambda)|_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}}\big)_{\lambda'+b} = \big(\widehat{(f_\lambda)|_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}}\big)_{\lambda+b} = cotanh^2(\lambda)\sigma_{\mathbb{H}^k}(0) + \widehat{(h_\lambda)}_{\lambda+b} \longrightarrow cotanh^2(\lambda)\sigma_{\mathbb{H}^k}(0) + \hat{h}_{\infty+b}$$

Consequently $\hat{f}_{b+\infty}$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Thus it is positve definite outside S. This proves the Main Theorem.

References

[1] R.L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (1969) 1-49.

[2] R. M. Charney and M. W. Davis, *Strict hyperbolization*, Topology **34** (1995), 329-350.

[3] P. Ontaneda, *Riemannian Hyperbolization*. Arxiv:

[4] P. Ontaneda, *Hyperbolic extensions and metrics ϵ-close to hyperbolic*. Arxiv:

[5] P. Ontaneda, *Deforming an ϵ-close to hyperbolic metric to a hyperbolic metric*. Arxiv:

Pedro Ontaneda
SUNY, Binghamton, N.Y., 13902, U.S.A.